

Nampa Fire District Consolidation Proposal

14 Oct - 18 Nov 2020

Poll results

Table of contents

- Survey

Survey (1/7)



Residents are asked to attend a town hall in person, watch the recorded town hall at livestream.com/cityofnampa or view the short video at cityofnampa.us/fire before proceeding and providing your feedback. Where did you learn about this proposal?

(1/2)

Town Hall 1: Oct. 21 at public safety building

2 %

Town Hall 2: Oct. 27 at Fire Station 4 (Flamingo)

1 %

Town Hall 3: Oct. 28 at Fire Station 2 (Greenhurst)

1 %

Watched at livestream.com/cityofnampa

9 %

Survey (1/7)

4 4 4

Residents are asked to attend a town hall in person, watch the recorded town hall at livestream.com/cityofnampa or view the short video at cityofnampa.us/fire before proceeding and providing your feedback. Where did you learn about this proposal?

(2/2)

Watched video at cityofnampa.us/fire



I didn't attend or watch any presentation



Which best describes where you live?

Nampa city limits



Nampa, but outside city limits



Other



Which best describes you?

Work and live in Nampa



Live in Nampa, work outside of Nampa



Work in Nampa, live outside of Nampa



Survey (4/7)

4 5 5

Do you support the proposed consolidation between the Nampa Fire Department and Nampa Fire Protection District?

Yes, I support the proposed consolidation.



No, I do not support the proposed consolidation.



No opinion



Unsure, I still need more information



Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(1/81)

- Because it seems like it will help everyone
- I am currently in the area that has no fire station. As an older person living alone I have 1. enjoyed the use of the smoke detector program. 2. Worry about response times in case I need critical medical care or if I do something dumb and need help. 3. I want to make sure my children and grandchildren has good support in the future. 4. Nampa doesn't have enough programs as it is, let alone by taking needed funding away from them.
- Consolidating and working together is more efficient then working separately. Safety

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(2/81)

of citizens is one of the most important responsibilities of government.

- Nampa Fire needs to be able to grow along with the population. A lack of funding/growth to the department leads to increased response times and a decrease in effective services rendered
- Better coverage and minimal wait time
- This area is a fast growing market and the services need to grow

as well. Fire Dept and medical emergencies dept definitely need to expand .

- As a native to the Treasure Valley, though I do not enjoy the growth that has been happening, I I think that residents should be taken care of during an emergency.
- Decreased response times for Nampa community members, increased funding
- Faster response times, able to keep non

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(3/81)

- emergency programs such as tours and smoke alarms, more help to meet the needs of increasing calls. Provides funds needed to support fire stations
- It gives them more opportunities for training and equipment. I do suggest the City of Nampa make a better effort at recruitment especially women.
 - Safety of people and property's
 - I think we need to keep up with the growth.
 - I think that we need to give the firefighter's the tools that they know they need to to save lives.
 - We need the fire department to be able to get to the places they need to go in as little time as possible. We need another station soon to help take the burden off of the current stations. We need to be able to continue to build more stations as needed as we grow.
 - It allows the fire

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(4/81)

department to get the funds they need. City council has continued to show that they don't support the growth they approve, which is not fiscally responsible.

- Nampa needs to catch up with standards and meet on going growth needs, not fall further behind
- Better use of resources with the consolidation. Faster response times decrease risk of property damage and loss

of life. Will continue the community outreach projects like school tours and smoke detector services.

- If the city isn't going to properly fund critical departments this consolidation is the only option.
- I currently live outside of the 6 min response time. I'm willing to do what's needed to help keep my home and family safer in case of an emergency.
- Will reduce response times of fire

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(5/81)

dept, avoid need to eliminate non emergency programs, and save money in long run

- Common sense tells me that consolidating the two would save money and resources while providing improved response times. I currently live in an area where the response time would be longer and to me that isn't acceptable. The area where proposed station

6 is to be built is booming. There are probably 20/25 very large communities with more being built. I think it's vital for Nampa and it's citizens to have the station and services available that the other 5 locations have.

- The ability for the City of Nampa and our fire dept to continue to support the exponential growth that our community is currently experiencing

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(6/81)

needs to be one of our top priorities and combining the two districts should in theory make this a much more feasible goal

- The firefighters have done their homework and their research. They know what is needed and have never given us reason to not trust them. Please give them what they

need. Their proposal makes sense and they are right about the need. People's lives are at stake. Support the firefighters in their efforts to be better protectors.

- As Nampa growth is pushed beyond what services can be met our Police, Fire Dept and EMS are not able to keep up with that growth. We need to ensure that our First Responders have the facilities and equipment to

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(7/81)

help all of Nampa's citizens in a timely manner. To continue to to grow our population but not grow our fire dept to meet that growth is a total disservice to our town and a disaster waiting to happen.

- Additional sales tax money, additional impact fee money, election of fire commissioners
- I see the need for the safety of the people of Nampa and outlying areas

- My husband and I are retired Calif. peace officers. We understand how important a timely response is for both medical conditions and fire suppression. No one likes taxes, but we like the living conditions we found in Idaho and want to maintain this way of life. We support your efforts to provide services and plan for future needs.
- Nampa has grown & will continue

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(8/81)

to growoutgrowing the ability for our fire Dept to serve us ... we need to make changes & the Consolidation Plan is THE best plan! Thank U for ALL U do for us?
Namaste Candice Norris 50 N Firestone Way Nampa

- Needed because of growth
- Sounds like the best option out of the 3
- Fire Response times need to be shortned and the fact that

the city wants to put money elsewhere is not acceptable.

- Like that it could have a net neutral tax impact to the city and the ability to work with cash reserves. I am unclear about the impact fees as will this not be the same issue as Option 1 that states there will not be sufficient fees to cover the growth. Would this problem appear as well with the combined population projections?
- 1. Improved response times 2.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(9/81)

- Makes sense to consolidate and combine forces, staff, equipment, etc. 3. Neutral tax effect.
- I can see the need as explained by the fire chief on the video. I understand that our area is growing and we need to support the growth with the emergency services.
 - The consolidation will result in better fire protection and life preservation
 - It is the best option to help people and remain sustainable.
 - The consolidation appears to be the best option for all residents within the city limits and greater Nampa impact area.
 - Seems to have the least impact with better results.
 - Better funding
 - logical considering proposed growth
 - The only option to maintain service levels.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(10/81)

- Better response time and fire protection for all of the greater Nampa area.
- We need to be certain to support those who support us. Removing budget from other departments who service our community is not a viable option. If joining the Nampa Fire Protection District will allow our Fire Fighters to perform their duties without impacting other City functions, this consolidation appears to be a win.
- We need to continue to support our Fire Department as much as we possibly can. With our growth comes the need and demand

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(11/81)

for additional safety expenses. I support our NFD on continuing to do all they can to improve our current and future response times.

- There sounds like a better response time for all areas of the city, I am the second person to see the stroke patients at the hospital and my

job is to remove the clot/stroke from the brain. With faster response time the more brain I can save and a more normal life that person can have.

- It would ease the burden on the city, no new taxes, provide a new fire station for the citizens of Nampa.. I had a good chat with Captain Mike Phillips the other day about this, and he was very helpful in answering my questions.

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(12/81)

- Just makes sense. As growth occurs Nampa City Fathers need to look at funding alternatives to insure that residences who have emergencies will have the availability of emergency coverage within the expected response time.
- Looking for best service possible. Not looking for County tax increases tho! Canyon Co is already the highest tax base per \$1000 value of property in the State. Still trying to figure why???
- Makes the most sense of the options
- Faster response times to out lying areas
- It seems like the obvious answer to keep our community safe and ready for emergencies.
- The evaluation and recommendations presented in the

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(13/81)

video I watched were very informative and persuasive. I am strongly in favor of the proposed consolidation because I know how crucial faster response times are, and I also believe the proposal would benefit our community financially as well as in other positive ways.

- With our growth we are in need or more services. We are behind on this.
- I'm not sure what is meant by

net neutral financial impact.

Basically, we support the proposed consolidation, but we are retired on a fixed income.

- Continue to provide service and react to continued growth.
- Simple, it just makes the most sense.
- It would give so many more resources, along with funding for each station & district. As Nampa continues to grow, the need for these services

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(14/81)

has escalated to a point that the stations are no longer able to keep up with their quality of standards on each and every call.

- Sounds like it's good for Nampa
- Doesn't seem like there is another option
- It will save time, services and money.
- If we want help when we call, it pretty much sounds like we have no choice but to support it.
- Shorten response times

to protect residents and firefighters. There also seems to be an opportunity to share resources. With Nampa's growth, it seems appropriate to add Station 6 to meet national standards for response times.

- I believe the consolidation to be essential for the continued safety of the citizens and materials of Nampa, particularly in view of

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(15/81)

- the short and long-term projected growth, which I have seen first hand over the past 6 years since we moved here.
- We are growing and need adequate support structures. This seems like a good route to take that meets needs of the community.
 - Dedicated focus to fire/EMS service - not distracted or competing with other public service demands. Cost effectiveness/efficiency
 - Proposal seems to best fairly spread the financial burden of providing adequate fire protection.
 - Fire and EMS need stable leadership and long term planning. The fire and EMS service would no longer have to compete with other city

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(16/81)

departments for funding and would not be subservient to Mayors and City Councilmen changing the direction and priorities of the city every 4 years.

- it makes sense to me, support the department
- The population and the demand for emergency services is far exceeding the budget growth. Our first responder's deserve funding.
- I work in healthcare and see the

devastating impact of disasters.

We must grow services and resources to respond to the growing need. We have not done this.

- Seems to be what Mr. Carpenter recommends. I trust him.
- It sounds like a good idea and the best of the three scenarios offered. However, the video did not make it clear

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(17/81)

what opposition this may have. Without knowing the potential risks with the consolidation it is hard to give a sure answer.

- Annexation by the Nampa Fire Protection District of the Nampa Fire Department will provide the same service level and provide for funding of future Fire Stations and personnel.
- It makes sense to have one entity not two running the fire department.
- It appears that a consolidation would provide the least impact on fees and revenue and be a more sustainable path moving forward.
- Staying status quo will not allow the safety service needed in Nampa. If this is the best, most efficient and effective option that the experts have come up with, I support it.
- It will provide better service for the residents of the Nampa

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(18/81)

- area and can grow with Nampa as we continue grow
- We must keep up with growth and provide the services needed to maintain safety as well as the quality of life we enjoy now. I believe economies of scale combined with increased tax revenue from population growth can help us maintain great service.
- To insure adequate fire protection
- to all of the community.
- As the population grows, I find it extremely important to maintain national standards for the safety of all individuals in Nampa. As people move to Idaho from other places and increase our city population, it is best for us AND them to have a sixth fire station. I am actually very passionate about this position.
- It is good for the community and it will keep us safer.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(19/81)

- Allows the FD to respond to growth within the City and District. Only way to fund and staff a new station.
- I think it is important to follow the recommended national emergency response times. It seems like with growth that consolidation of the Fire Department and Nampa Fire Protection District is the only way to meet those National recommended response times. It is also a net neutral cost to city taxpayers. I want our community to be safe and have a strong EMS service.
- To increase the response time necessary for community safety/
- it makes sense to not duplicate the oversight to two different entities doing the same thing
- It's better for city. It protects

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(20/81)

the firefighters. It's better for everyone

- I am in support of this because we need to support our Fire Department since the city has put the cart before the horse for so many years. The result is that citizens are

not even getting the services they pay for. Unfortunately, when those in power are not good financial stewards of other people's money and allow uncontrolled building to go forward without infrastructure this is the result.

- Fire response times need to be kept to the standards for safety. Population growth will continue,

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(21/81)

- and we need to be proactive in ensuring our infrastructure keeps up with the growth.
- Improved capacity for fire protection and net neutral tax burden.
- Of the three options proposed, the consolidation is the only one that can ensure an acceptable standard of care and prepare for the future as our city will certainly keep growing.
- The best option for growth. All other options cripple support capability.
- I think it's the best plan to keep the citizens safe
- We need the new station 6 to keep our response time in good standards
- I am in favor of a sustainable, self-governing fire district not reliant upon the city budget.
- It seems consolidation is the best option. This would create better response times, be the best use

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(22/81)

of taxpayer dollars, plus it appears to minimize taxpayers future tax increases. I also think it's extremely fair to tax builders/ developers initially to help sustain growth and better service, because new folks moving into the area are very responsible for slowing down critical response times.

- Adjusting is always needed. Allowing the consolidation releases pressure of

increased calls to other stations, especially in priority emergencies.

- Reduced response time Better use of personnel and equipment Better home insurance rates Fits needs of a growing community
- My home is in a part of Nampa that is not currently meeting the recommended response time. I would love to see a new fire station up and running as soon as possible.
- As a medical professional, I

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(23/81)

know the ability to do my job well, hinges upon the ability of first responders to get to medical emergencies in a timely fashion, so they can transport the patient to me for further intervention.

- It makes sense.
- Human lives are priceless. If our first responders are currently unable to meet the standard response time of 6 minutes,

then we, the citizens, have the responsibility to provide them with the necessary tools to perform their jobs effectively.

- As stated by Captain Phillips, area growth necessitates the need. And we're only going to get bigger.
- Because it allows more funding sources for enlarging the fire department. It also allows for accessing impact fees which should be

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(24/81)

collected now, so that all these new people arriving can pay for the impact they make on Police, fire, sewer, roads, etc.

- Considering the available options, it seems the best for both Nampa and it's surrounding area.
- I believe it is needed to increase performance and keep up with population growth
- Greater effectiveness of Fire Department revenue and greater coverage of fire services.
- We need sustainable funding and support for our fire department that will improve response times and bring the best available services to Nampa and the surrounding area.
- Efficiency.
- It makes the best use of resources.
- Consolidation provides best dispatch firefighter coverage for emergencies. Also it allows

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(25/81)

funding for personnel that is appropriate to perform job duties.

- 1 - information presented in the presentation was useful, timely, accurate, and presented a variety of options. 2 - I am not a professional fire

fighter/emergency responder, but those seeking this improvement are. I have full confidence in their understanding and ability to do what is necessary to provide these essential services to our community. 3 - Nampa is growing!

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(26/81)

- Growth required us to be flexible and make necessary changes. - it would be nice to see an increase in impact fees to builders / buyers / a levy/tax discount to long-term Nampa residents.
- The only answer to fund a necessary service.
 - Higher sustainability for the fire department as it compensates for population growth.
 - Less governmental agencies.
 - Consolidation of government services is always a good thing
 - This proposal will meet the required response time; saving lives and property.
 - We need to have the shortest response time for emergencies. Having the proposed consolidation will let that happen with the growth that we are experiencing.
 - better funding, better utilization of fire services
 - I feel like it will be most beneficial.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(27/81)

- Makes the most sense fiscally and with improved response time. Seems like a win-win option to me.
- Need to maintain coverage for our community
- I want the best protection for my home and family and this option gives that
- -Quicker response times -safer for our public servants -population growth requires an action and this seems like the best course.
- It is very apparent this is a prudent measure to ensure the safety of the residents of Nampa.
- Increased efficiency Better adjusting to growth
- It is important we do all we can to provide timely service to all members of our community. I live within an area of Nampa where there is rapid growth and I understand the need for more resources.
- It sounds like that is the best

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(28/81)

way to provide for the growth of Nampa and to more readily be there to protect our citizens

- One big reason is that we live in Southwest Nampa where the response time is longer than the 6 minute time needed to get the best job done. I appreciate this information! I wasn't aware of it.
- Consolidation is a good way to optimize are Fire Fighting operations. Both logistical and financial. I believe that this is a

good plan for our growing community as well and I will support the construction of another fire station. Michael C. Tolman

- It's the most cost effective and practical solution
- It makes sense to have new development pay their way, we had to pay our way years ago. It makes things streamlined but still accountable

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(29/81)

to the citizens of Nampa. It puts things back on track , over 15 years since a new station has been built is unacceptable.

- I live in a senior apartment complex. If consolidation cuts the response time and makes the fire fighters more effective then I say consolidate.
- Makes the most sense, both for safety and economics.
- Sounds like it will cost less taxes.
- Want improved response time
- Seems fiscally responsible, will consolidate services, and seems to be the best option for the community without putting undue pressure on property owners.
- As long as it is not going to cost us a lot more. It does make sense for the area that a fire station serves to pay for it.
- We need to protect lives and property
- The city will continue to grow.

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(30/81)

People will continue to move to this city. We need the services to grow with the city.

- Option 3
- Quicker response time and safety for everyone
- Better response time
- it seems the most practical solution for our needs now and going forward.
- It only makes sense that as Nampa grows the

level of response and timeliness of that response keeps up with the growth. Increased response times are not acceptable nor is it acceptable to limit the emergency services to Nampa residents. As stated in the material given, minutes and even seconds are critical in emergency situations. Also, the availability to additional funding dollars is very appealing.

- Decrease in response time, limited effect on property taxes

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(31/81)

- Increased exponential growth requires this.
- With the growth in Nampa not showing any signs of slowing down I feel that a new fire station is needed. I live in the southwest corner of Nampa where a majority of the growth is happening and fear that we will not have the protection that is needed.
- For all the reasons stated in your presentation for option 3.
- So that the response time can be where it needs to be.
- Want to have timely service, if needed. But, don't want property taxes to go up. It seems everyone is trying to make additions to property taxes and they seem to be skyrocketing, which is difficult if you are on a fixed income.
- I live in southwest Nampa off Hiway 45 and west in Locust Lane
- It is the most beneficial and sustainable option

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(32/81)

- to handle growth in our city and area.
- increased funding for the fire department so they can add the needed stations
- Efficient use of resources, both monetary and human.
- With the growth the growth of services like the fire department need to keep up with it.
- We need this with all this unbelievable growth
- I live in the rural district
- area & feel the need for consolidation & a new fire house is very much needed.
- It would decrease response time for emergencies and bring it to the recommended response time. When certain parts of the city's population increases then we need to increase the availability to those residents.
- The consolidation is the best way to serve the

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(33/81)

- existing community needs while preparing for continued growth.
- It would provide advantages for response times and prevent overlap, redundancy, or patchy fire service. Also the other two options did not sound 1. good for our future fire insurance rates or 2. fair to other city departments.
 - Sounds like a way to solve the growth challenges without having to add more taxes.
 - Funds fire needs for the Nampa Area without an increase in the city of Nampa tax levy.
 - I want to make sure that the fire department has the resources necessary to respond and take care of an emergency in a timely manner.
 - Sometimes consolidation can be positive, such as saving on duplicate administration, dispatch, etc. However, there was

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(34/81)

little info given on this subject. Why would a five member commission be necessary to consolidate? What limitations would be placed upon increasing budgets? Why are the budgets so high? is it primarily personnel costs, or equipment/stations? How does Nampa City's fire department budget compare to other Treasure Valley Fire Departments on a per capita basis? this was

presented as if it was a no-brainer, so why the big push for citizens to provide feedback? Is it because the council wants political cover to make their decision knowing that it will not be popular once the facts are known? Something is not adding up here.

- Consolidation appears to be needed in order to have adequate services for our population.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(35/81)

- Because it seems necessary.
- -no fiscal impact on citizen - increased safety to all Nampa citizens with response times within time limits.
- we need to answer to growth in the city we need station 6
- We need to plan for the inevitable growth and demand.
- To shorten response times.
- Most efficient use of resources with best service outcome.
- I would like to see our fire department grow along with our community.
- I spoke with the Nampa assistant chief and the general consensus among the firefighters seems to be leaning toward the consolidation. My husband is a retired Fire Captain. We always support what the firefighters want.
- We live out here by the lake in the southwest area. With all of the building going

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(36/81)

on out here it will be very beneficial for a sixth station closer to our area.

- Feel it will reduce the response times for fires and emergencies. Feel it is the best option. Too much risk to utilize the other two options.
- The proposed benefits of the consolidation sound logical and straightforward. I wish I had the confidence that

the execution would be as presented, but I guess we'll see if they move forward with option 3.

- It makes sense.
- I live in an area that is outside of the 6:20 minutes range of a fire station. That is not okay. I fully think we need to be more proactive and not reactive to the growth that is occurring and will continue to occur in our city. Thinking upstream on how to avoid problems, not just fix them.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(37/81)

- I want the citizens of nampa, my family and the families of the tenants whose homes I manage, to have a better guarantee of their safety and protection through quick response times from the Nampa Fire Station.
- It is VITAL to address the protection of the city as our numbers increase. As one of the fastest growing areas in the state, we must be prepared to offer fast and efficient fire department services for all. This should not be considered optional. When human life is at stake, strong consideration for protection from calamity MUST be actionable.
- Increased service to Nampa which increases safety and keeps home insurance amount lower.
- I live in the area where station 6 is supposed to have been built some time ago.

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(38/81)

It is obvious that Nampa is not going to stop growing and the need for our safety is at risk at this time. We appreciate our firefighters and the care we have personally received in the past. We support this plan and need to support the needs of our firefighters.

- There would be better overall planning and coverage for the city. It sounds like there is a good long-term solution for growth.

- I am concerned that where i live is where the longest response times happen. We need station 6 built to handle the growing south west nampa residents.
- I feel it will help our city and communities in the long run. I live only 3 minutes from the fire station and when I called 911 it still takes a bit. We need to support the fire dept especially with the growth!
- We need more Fire

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(39/81)

Station's as the city of Nampa grows to keep the city safe from fires. I am retired and you have no place for retired people say that they are retired and not working. It would also give the EMS and Fire personal know the streets and be better to get there when needed.

- Whatever makes it easier for the city, That is fine with me.
- MORÉ EFFICIENT PROTECTION FOR ALL

PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN NAMPA.

- We can't expect to have all this growth but then not do anything abt it. Just as with schools popping everywhere we need to do the same with the agencies that work so hard to keep us safe.
- Safety for all in our community
- As described in the video, our services, such as fire department, need to keep pace

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(40/81)

- with the population growth that is happening and will be happening in our community
- I understand that the growth in Nampa is only going to keep going. I support our firefighters and think their video was very clear and informative. I also understand that time is of the essence when it comes to saving lives and we need to make sure there are enough fire stations for the growing surrounding areas.
- After the video option seems like the best alternative.
- Better service
- I believe moving to a district will ensure that the city has adequate fire and EMS protection. Ive lived in Nampa for almost 20 years and have seen the growth over that time. Not building

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(41/81)

a station since 2007 with the amount of growth happening is a mistake. We need to put in place a system that keeps up with the growth, and making the consolidation will achieve that.

- Better coverage/response time
- Increased response times, lower home owners insurance rates.
- The Nampa Fire Department is best qualified to assess the needs to perform their

duties as they strive to protect the citizens of Nampa, our buildings, and the safety of the firefighters as they put their lives on the line for the rest of us. My husband and I support the consolidation.

- Better coordination and response times.
- Allowing the districts to merge w/o large fiscal impacts is a no brainer. Nampa

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(42/81)

is overdue for a new fire station as Boise has added/remodeled stations since 2007.

- Existing fire stations will be challenged to meet the needs of long time and new residents. Response time is critical as our area adds growth.
- If this will lessen the response time and make it safer for Nampa, I agree.
- It seems like a win-win for residents, city & fire

districts. Options 1&2 do nothing to alleviate the current problem of response time & growth does not seem to be abating any time in the future.

- The ability to levy impact fees directly on the developers. They build the houses, they can build a firehouse or two. Simplify collection of taxes and keep the fire department from being another political football in the city budget.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(43/81)

- As a resident of Nampa, I want the best for my local Fire Dept. It's clear that it will be much better for everyone if the proposed consolidation happens
- The rate of growth in the area is moving faster than infrastructure can support. I also think financial burden should be shared with partners such as Amazon that stand to benefit substantially . What community fundraising has been considered? A summer first responders BBQ event might be an opportunity to subsidize funding and encouraging community engagement.
- Better coverage for more people in the area. Will allow funds in to build more stations. Better response times. Will simply be a huge help to the department!!!!
- We need to be forward thinking

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(44/81)

in the protection of a growing community and the safety of those who are responding to the incidents.

- It just makes sense. Consolidation appears to be a win-win scenario with no clear drawbacks based on the information provided. Also, home, as well as the homes of my extended family are in the southwest district of Nampa that is currently under serviced by the fire department.

- The benefits are what we are in need of right now.
- To enable the fire departments to get to a fire in 6 minutes...and use impact fees to help fund.
- The city needs to build Station #6 to better serve the community
- More emergency support and faster response time. More support for the emergency response teams.
- I don't support it
- I fully appreciate the fire

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(45/81)

departments services and feel it's important for them to have the resources they need.

- City has been derelict in their duties to properly fund the department. Not having built a station in 13 years while doubling the call volume displays an incredible amount

of incompetence of leadership in keeping the community safe. This is the only option based on the data to provide the community the level of service it deserves!

- Better funding to serve the citizens of Nampa by improving response times to fire and medical emergencies.
- It seems to be the best option for our growing community and the safety of her citizens.
- The community is only going

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(46/81)

to continue to grow with no signs of slowing. We need a reasonable solution to address this and this sounds like the most feasible solution at this point.

- Allow the fire department to control its own growth when and how
- The consolidation will ensure that the proposed consolidation of the Nampa Fire Department into a district

will ensure that the Fire District will be able to provide the necessary fire stations and keep the response time to a reasonable timeframe. Without consolidation, the City left alone won't have the funding necessary to provide the stations and manpower necessary to provide the same level of service.

- Gives fire more stable tax base
- I feel it would benefit the city best, such as quicker

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(47/81)

response times and more funds will available to improve other areas.

- It just makes sense!
- The video describes the benefits of the consolidation, a reduced emergency response time, and that our taxes remain stable.
- sounds like the best option for a growing Nampa
- I feel that it would be the most efficient use of the

current recourses and help with response times in the outlying areas.

- Better coverage for fire services
- I believe that consolidation of services is usually the most fiscally responsible option. If new growth causes gaps in service, new growth should help pay for increased need.
- Quicker response time.
- I support any improvements to give these men and women

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(48/81)

the tools necessary to do their jobs safely. They put their lives on the line, so it is important to give them any resources that they need.

- It seems to be the best option for our community
- It makes sense on cost and safety
Concern for all
- Improved response time is top reason, separate control board, and would

have consistent funding instead of competing against other city departments

- As a fire victim that totally loss our property, home, everything we owned of 32 years last June 26, 2019. Out on pickle butte, Deer Flat Rd & Pearch Rd Caldwell, I am sure you remember it being a 7 alarm fire, which was caused by a neighbor. Had there

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(49/81)

been a fire department closer to us I feel our home could have been saved. We had lost 1/2 of our property by the time the first fire truck had arrived and the fire kept growing. We was very under insured and had to sell our burnt property to have a custom home built and moved into Nampa Anex. With that being said I totally support in adding more fire stations, trucks & staff. I would not mind our

taxes going up to help fund this! Fire departments are very important to everyone. Insurance should not be raised because of under funding of our fire departments. I suggest that the city increases taxes to pay for the fire department, staff, building, trucks and equipment. You have my whole family support in this, for we experienced something so awful that was total life changing! Thank you The Stuart's

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(50/81)

- It makes the most sense. It is unfortunate, however, that the impact fees collected from developers has been insufficient to cover the cost of needed infrastructure for our city. In view of the continuous growth in the area of housing subdivisions it is essential that we have sufficient fire stations to maintain decent response times. I just wish we had found out about this BEFORE October 30th so we could have attended one of the meetings rather than just watch the video. The lack of communication is VERY frustrating!!
- The glaring area of underserved population to the south west needs a fire station. It seems that this has been known for quite some time, yet no

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(51/81)

action has been taken to fund it. If this consolidation created an avenue for funding that station, and reducing average response times then I am in favor

- The city cannot keep up with public safety growth. This allows the fire department to smartly address growth While making it easier for the city to keep up with other services.
- It sounds like a smart use of tax dollars to

address the growing population and the need for fire services.

- I live in the area of town that will be served by Station 6. The current council is unlikely to fund the staffing needed for station 6 if the responsibility remains with the City. That area of town has dramatically increased in the number of residences and businesses in the last 15 years and needs coverage. Stations 1, 2 and 4 are too far away.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(52/81)

- Keep up with growth.
- response time
- Free up tax base to support the rest of the city.
- Better and wider fire protection and no increase in taxes.
- The increased need for support and those in the know favor it and I trust their opinions based upon years of service to our community.
- I support the consolidation as long as the city agrees not to shift the current fire budget to other city services, effectively doubling the tax burden on city residents earmarked initially for emergency services. If the consolidation remains tax neutral while increasing effectiveness and decreasing response times, then I support consolidation.
- It covers not only current needs but future developments as well.
- The alternatives are unacceptable. I also like that

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(53/81)

the fire district will be independently funded and governed.

- I'd like to have shorter response time for emergencies. I care about the safety of our community.
- It would give the ability to have faster response times to those in need and it would cover all of Nampa, not just

portions. I had not thought of the response time increasing due to increasing population. Great video and it was very well explained!

- Our city is growing at a dramatic rate. Nampa has consistently been seen (whether warranted or not) as "behind" the other cities in our valley. If we are to sustain such rapid

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(54/81)

growth, we must empower our emergency service providers, specifically Nampa Fire. As a taxpayer and homeowner, I appreciate the time and attention paid towards keeping costs low or negligible as we consolidate and ensure the safety of our city and citizenry. I own a business outside of Nampa. I consider myself a "Nampa Person"- born and (mostly) raised. I

want Nampa to become a place where I feel confident to locate my business. This would be a big step in me feeling confident and comfortable moving my practice from Meridian back "Home" to Nampa.

- To decrease response times.
- sounds like the best option...makes the most logical sense
- Must better system for our communities.

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(55/81)

- Current funding will not support the growth needed to operate at top capacity. Funds will support buildings but it will be difficult to support the hiring of needed personnel. This is a solution to the problem. It will also free up monies to support other areas of the city and allow them to grow.
- It seems like the most viable option for Nampa and its continued growth.
- This is a no-brainer. Growth is not going to slow down and this is the best option for the agencies and community.
- It's the only solution that allows for adequate protection of life and property as Nampa has grown too quickly. Response times can't continue to be above average goal. The community and firefighters deserve better.
- Sounds like a better use of tax dollars and an improvement in services.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(56/81)

- Shared burden financially. Better use of resources. Better response times and better coverage.
- Decrease funds needed for extra red tape Increase funds to go directly to operations of Nampa Fire Dept. Decrease response time as community grows
- It's needed to support the fire dept and city take fare of the growth in residents
- This is unquestionably the single most logical decision I have seen
- lately from our elected representatives and our GREAT FIRE DEPARTMENTS ! saved my life !! Cardiac Arrest last year and I am still here GOD BLESS OUR Nampa Fire Departments... Thank you.....J. Young
- Allows for greater collaboration.
- Need to improve response times and have adequate staffing at all times.
- I currently live outside of the coverage

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(57/81)

- area of the existing stations. If I were to have an emergency, I would want the fastest response time possible. Right now - that can't happen. Our city is growing and this is the best option to grow with it and keep residents safe.
- Stations will be built on time without increase in taxes. This will maximize safety at minimal cost.
 - Lower response times Increase fire stations for appropriate coverage Of not only the city but the rural.
 - There is no other feasible way to provide the needed coverage for nampa and her citizens.
 - We are growing at a rate that needs better response time
 - Growing population, to allow for necessary response times
 - This will be a more efficient use of funding and resources.
 - We live in the southwest portion of Nampa and

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(58/81)

are very concerned regarding response times to our area.

- Nampa has encouraged growth & approved many new subdivisions knowing that they are not able to provide timely responses to many of these areas. This is a disservice to people who move into these areas who most likely are not aware

that the City of Nampa has failed to keep up with service needs but are happy to expand the tax base. Consolidation appears to be the best option. It provides benefits that the City of Nampa apparently cannot/will not take. They want to encourage growth but are unwilling to provide adequate funding to protect the lives and property of

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(59/81)

the families they encourage to move here. Fire and police protection are the most vital services they provide. It appears that they are not willing to step up to the plate to adequately fund the fire service. They are more concerned about net neutral tax cost. I feel that consolidation should be put in place as soon as possible.

- The ability to get additional funding from

the state Improved/shorter wait-time per incident Anything that would improve or help our great first responders is what we should do

- The focused funding and governing of Fire and EMS response to the community would be best for the people. Allows for a model that shows sustainability and growth. With the other

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(60/81)

options the city is not able to do that without great sacrifice from other departments that would then be further behind.

Consolidation seems like it is the only option that the elected officials should consider if they truly represent the needs and wellbeing of those that voted them into their positions. Although I understand that the costs will go up for future service needs, there is a savings in

costs if the consolidation allows for the construction of stations years earlier as those costs continue to jump up with the demand.

- As a former volunteer firefighter from rural Colorado I completely understand and agree with the consolidation proposal which will add efficiency and thus safety to the function of both the city and district departments.
- Effeiciency

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(61/81)

- A fire district seems like it would less politics with the city and more concentrated funding directly to the fire department and emergency services witch is a win for the citizens and the city of Nampa
- Yes, but I'm concerned with the cost of combining those two. What would the taxes look like to the citizens? We're already struggling and another added tax would be hard on my family.
- taxpayer savings, integreted response prospect, manpower consolidation and possible saving of staff needed, combining of administrative services such as: chief officers, prevention activities, support positions, and the amount of service vehicle needed will hopefully be less overhead,
- Of the 3 options presented, the consolidation option seemed best.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(62/81)

- It looks like it will work better.
- It's seems like the city can't cover the needs of the fire department. As fast as the city is growing there should be more resources to help the need.
- The distance from my home and the nearest Fire Station is 2.1 mi., which according to Google is precisely 6 minutes away, during low traffic.

This means people living just beyond me in the same area are even further away. Being able to consolidate seems fiscally responsible as well as providing a safer service model for residents. Additionally, not mentioned in

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(63/81)

the video, as growth impacts demand, the fire department personnel will continue to work under a greater stressful environment which leaves the City of Nampa vulnerable to workforce turnover potentially creating an even higher risk to safety.

- Having been in the fire/EMS world for 20+ years, I can absolutely see the positives of having the Nampa Fire

Department under a consolidation plan with the District. I currently work in that environment and see the positives of having a dedicated program. Nampa FD will be bringing a opportunity to serve the good of the area, not just a dedicated population. I currently live in the rural district, and having lived in Washington state prior to Nampa, this type of operation, under a district

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(64/81)

plan, is a common platform for many Washington residents. It is actually uncommon for them to not be in a "district". It serves more people, decreases the potential for "no-mans land", and increases the effectiveness and efficiency of the service. Improved response times with proper placement of apparatus

- and stations The District is able to maximize purchase power, thereby reducing costs Reduce residential insurance premiums
- It's Better for the city at a net neutral cost. Less impact on the citizens in Nampa. The fire stations can be built with the growth Nampa is seeing and staff hired to support them.
 - It falls directly in line with my views on transparent and

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(65/81)

simple government.

Commissioners who are only elected to govern the fire authority makes more sense than city council people, a mayor, a finance director and

commissioners who are in charge of the fire department. This will also

clearly tell citizens what their fire department costs (separate line item on tax statement). I like that the fire

department will operate with a set, predictable, and stable budget without having to negotiate with other city departments.

- Service delivery
- Seems the most logical by building a new station giving more coverage will saves lives
- We need more fire stations. We only have 5 and we are a very large county. The response times are getting longer and it's critical

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(66/81)

to have short responses times to save lives. The stations also need proper funding. The community has grown and fire departments also need growth

- Growth and safety
- Simply because it will provide the best service to the citizens of Nampa.
- It will increase response time as more fire stations are built. There will be access to more

State revenues. More stations can be built as growth occurs.

Improved services at a neutral cost.

- Response times!
- I want all the citizens in Nampa protected as if it was my family member in need.
- Considering the protection we have today is not even adequate and we are growing at such a fast rate, it just

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(67/81)

makes sense that we should be willing to protect our homes, our lives, our neighbors, and our children with these extra resources available. Allowing the City to not be able to do what we expect of them is just not acceptable. We have qualified people in positions to help us make these judgement calls and I trust them.

- Will allow Nampa Fire Department the revenue to

address shortages of fire stations, equipment, and staffing. Without the items being increased the response times will continue to get worse.

- It appears to be the best option, consolidating resources & overlapping skillsets.
- I want everyone to have better access to quick service. I live out in the proposed station 6 district and it would be nice to know that there is a neighborhood station.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(68/81)

- The consolidation allows for Nampa Fire to be able to keep up with population growth. Current response times are far too long and will result in someone losing their life or more of their property than they should have.
- Nampa fire is a great organization, and in order to keep up with the demand of calls and growth in our city becoming a district is key. The funds will be more easily obtained to build the stations we need and hire the personnel we need.
- We absolutely need to be up to national standard in emergency response times. We're long overdue for additional stations and staffing to ensure ALL citizens & businesses in Nampa receive the

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(69/81)

- prompt assistance our taxes pay for in the event of an emergency. Do the right thing, and create the consolidation to protect our loved ones, businesses and fire fighters.
- Our fire and EMS service is falling behind. This appears to be the best option to maintaining our fire/EMS service today and into the future.
 - To give our fire department what they need to serve and protect our community.
 - To save administrative costs.
 - It seems like this is only way the Nampa fire department will be able to keep up with growth. I don't like paying more in taxes but when I look at the growth that has happened in the city since

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(70/81)

2007 it amazes me the city Council has allowed it to go so long without an expansion. I would be curious to know why the council would feel that station 6 is not desperately needed and fund it today!

- I live in an area that would be covered by the proposed station 6. This area is growing rapidly with several hundred more homes

slated to be completed in the next 2 years. This area is already experiencing a delayed response time for calls. I don't think it is right for this many people to experience a poor level of service like this. These

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(71/81)

homes are generating income for the city. Where is that money going if not to public services like police, fire, streets and the water department? If going to a district means we get a better level of service than we should have done it a long time ago. Many of the residents in my subdivision are retirees; this usually means that one is older and as we age we become more susceptible to illnesses and disease

processes. In the event of a heart attack or stroke, time is muscle. The faster that someone can receive emergency services the better the chances are of a favorable outcome. The city has a duty to do the what is best for the citizens.

- We are growing and therefore our community services such as the fire department

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(72/81)

needs to maintain service at a national standard. More people equals more fire stations. It's a responsibility to grow a city properly and to take care of its residents. Falling behind and having subpar numbers is not an option if we're all going to agree that the people in our community are the priority. Fiscal responsibility needs to be maintained, however growth is inevitable

along with a larger budget to measure up to a standard of care and response time. Consolidation seems logical and responsible.

- While I don't want to "lose" the city's fire department, the consolidation will help provide a long term solution for Nampa which benefits us all.
- This appears to be the only viable option to ensure that the citizens of Nampa have adequate fire and emergency services protection.

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(73/81)

- no negative ramifications
- It is important to me that our fire department is sustainable and keeps up with growth. It doesn't appear that the City can do that.
- Helps fire protection be more responsive to needs of the community
- It is important to maintain a level of service that aligns with the NFPA standard. As our City grows we
- need to maintain a safe community and to do that we need more stations and firefighters to protect it.
- I think that all governmental units need to adapt to changes in the area as population grows. Political boundaries such as city/county start becoming less relevant as the issues become

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(74/81)

more intermingled. If the fire department serves a region that isn't bounded by the city boundary, it makes sense to me to have the structure and governance reflect that.

- Faster response times. Limited impact on homeowner property taxes. More sustainable fiscal position. Better overall fire and Ems service to the public.
- Potential for improved response times, net neutral

fiscal impact, better ability to keep stations, equipment, and personnel up to date while maintaining important community service and education programs

- Appears best alternative to provide needed expansion if fire and EMS services with minimal impact on taxpayers.
- I think the consolidation is the most long-term sustainable option. It will also provide greater

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(75/81)

efficiencies and shared resources which will in turn provide a higher level of service.

- Better service without having to increase taxes.
- I live in SW Nampa in the slow response zone, which clearly needs a station. Also since there are far more residents in the city than outlying county, consolidating the resources and funding makes sense, allowing response by whoever is

closest to a call, vs constrained by city limits or agency assist requests. The PD uses GPS dispatching, sending the closest unit, so the consolidation would allow for that same type of closest unit response, irrespective to previous boundaries.

- less burden to the city to manage the department and a more involved community to be

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(76/81)

able to interact with the fire district. Important that Fire and Police maintain close communication.

- A consolidation of the fire district and Nampa Fire seems to make the most sense in terms of providing the most efficient service to the city in the most cost-effective way
- Nampa is growing QUICKLY and adding a new station and

consolidating forces will provide better service to the citizens of Nampa. Finances should be a concern, but the safety and wellbeing of a city's citizens should be the FIRST priority.

- Understanding the history of conservative funding and tax collection by the Nampa City Council, the future funding of important services such

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(77/81)

as the fire department is almost impossible. It has been proven across many municipal and county service arenas that sole focused taxing agencies can provide a better, sustained service to the community. Full service municipalities are forced to spread its tax collection amongst the variety of need areas under the city's purview. Often, there is just not enough to go around.

- The fire department needs to grow with more stations and manpower, which the City cannot support.
- It seems like if they were joined they could best work together and provide the best and efficient service.
- Makes sense as the area grows, the fire department needs to grow to keep the community safe!
- What is important to

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(78/81)

- me is prompt 911 response. We cannot keep deferring the stations!
- Our council has had 10+ years to build a needed fire station, consolidation seem like the best long term solution to build needed stations.
 - This appears to be the only way to properly maintain funding for the fire department. I do not want to lose my current service level.
 - 1. The city is growing at a very fast rate and our fire department has not grown with it. 2. I live in the area that is the future Station 6 first due, most houses that catch on fire in that area are a complete loss due to how far away it is. Furthermore that area is growing very quickly

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(79/81)

and needs better service. 3. With more people comes more calls. When you have more calls you need more people to respond to them, it's simple math. 4. At this point in the area I live I know it's a long response time as it is currently 2's area and they hardly stay in their station due to call volume.

- We need more fire stations.....
- It is clear the City of Nampa

cannot continue to supply the funds needed to maintain current levels of service. This is a logical solution!

- Station 6 has been in conversation for a couple of years now with nothing being done because of funding. Since Nampa growing so quickly, especially in the South/West area, not having emergency

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(80/81)

services responding in a reasonable time frame is very devastating for citizens. It seems that consolidation is a very realistic and efficient way to solve Nampa's dilemma of funding new stations.

- I support the consolidation. It seems that the best financial option that does not significantly increase the response time, especially where I live in

the south west portion of town. I cannot think of a single reason this proposed consolidation will not benefit everyone within our community.

- It makes complete sense based on the positives. But there were no negatives listed. There's always a downside even with the best of positives.
- There doesn't appear to be viable alternative options to fund the

Survey (5/7)

3 4 0

If you are in support of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(81/81)

- necessary fire department growth required to protect lives and property.
- Better protection for citizens along with better management of funds.

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(1/16)

- After attending the informative Fire Station 4 town hall I left feeling as if the proposed Fire District was the most favored solution and possibly the only solution considered. Here are a few thoughts that popped into my head. 1. What exactly is the glitch in the funding system we currently have? 2. How does creating a district address this glitch if the same taxpayers are to fund the projected expenses? 3. Does this create a level of government never intended, one that circumvents the people's ability to have the closest control? 3. It appears this will allow the fire department to

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(2/16)

no longer have to substantiate budget needs (or wants) because they will no longer need to compete for funds with other departments especially in regards to the compensation and benefits package. Will this give the appearance of the Fire Board just being perfunctory? I'm not certain of answers but something tells me there is going to be double taxation somehow. It would be dreamy

to map out a 50-60 year plan, skeletal for sure but a plan nonetheless. I would like to know that my grandchildren will be able to be homeowners, receive services while having some sort of comfortable life because we planned ahead. Thank you for doing your best to get the general public involved. I appreciate the opportunity. God Bless each of you making the decisions. Tara Barling

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(3/16)

- As a retired EMT response times are THE KEY to meeting “the golden hour” in medical and trauma emergencies. I believe that anything that can be be done to reduce response time in ANY emergency is a good thing. That being said I also realize that taxpayers are the ultimate burden bearers and think this should be brought to a vote.
- NA
- I wonder about how the current budget is managed and if there are some improvements that could be made. I also don't trust how taxes will be affected.
- How can you build more stations, increase fire crews, and buy equipment without an increase in taxes?
- Because I think it's working just fine the way it is
- Nothing was ever stated about what

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(4/16)

happens to the current District Fire Employees. Nothing was stated whether my fire protection tax (outside city limits) will increase to help only the City. Nothing was ever stated how the proposal will affect the non-city areas. I do believe these topics should have been addressed. It seemed like a very slanted proposal in which the City

of Nampa is benefitting. Nothing was stated how the District will benefit and will save tax payers money.

- N/A
- Not in opposition.
- I am concerned about the Nampa Fire Department receiving state money. Then the state would be able to more easily dictate what the Nampa fire Department does. This might not always have Nampa's best interest in mind.

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(5/16)

- The only thing I have a questions on is the need for a citizen board. What would be their function? It seems like another layer of oversight and another layer of bureaucracy. A chance for more hurt feelings.
- The City of Nampa has a long history of complaining of not enough revenue despite overall being consistently one of the highest taxing areas of the valley. The leaders have complained repeatedly that individuals living outside the city limits use their roads and services but don't contribute to the cost of running the city. Where does the money non-residents spend in the city go? If only the people that live in the city spent money there how many businesses would fail? The City of Nampa's history of not

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(6/16)

really taking care of the Rural Fire district folks is frightening. At times in the past, my family members would run to help a neighbor who had a fire and have two Nampa firefighters show up with a broken down truck without the basic understanding of how to run it. Before they could even begin to put water on the fire the Star, Middleton and even Caldwell trucks would arrive and put out the fire

while Nampa City firefighters and trucks sat at the station being too important to respond to a barn, haystack or rural home fire. Lets call this what it is. The city leaders concocting a new plan to have the rural people subsidize the Nampa City's bloated budget. They have payed for the Public Safety Building, Library and now they want them to build fire stations and pay firefighters so

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(7/16)

they can waste more on fluff projects while continuing to fall behind neighboring communities like Caldwell and Meridian in the quality of life and protection they provide their residents. Maybe City leaders should reconsider what the meaning of being a good neighbor is and make changes to extra fees and restrictions placed on

people who call Nampa home but don't live in the city before shaking them down for more money!!

- N/A
- Too expensive
- N/A
- We need fire departments more than ever to include hazmat team throughout the state of Idaho.
- The video did not tell enough about the effects on property taxes. If taxes will

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(8/16)

go up, I am opposed to the consolidation. If property taxes will stabilize and trend downward due to increased reliance on impact fees, then that would be different. You need citizens from different points of view to provide information about this proposal, not just the fire chief who has an inherent bias.

If this is such a great deal, why are you going to such

great lengths to get feedback and convince everyone? What is the big hurry. Nobody is willing to go to meetings during COVID. You should postpone this decision until there can be more effective community outreach meetings.

- I am opposed to the consolidation because when the department moves to the district voters loose control of the

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(9/16)

fire department, especially with respect to taxing. I support increasing the volunteer fire fighters and to not spend more.

- I don't understand the reason for change. Yes, the population is increasing, so are your revenues and tax base!!
- patron input is part of effective governance. as does the school district, consolidation of fire districts would remove the fire department

from city councils prevue; doing so challenges and complicates patrons influence on effective governance. funding decisions and adds another taxation district.

- There are many unnecessary fire risks in the city limits, in residential areas, Such as painting business operated in residences. (One, across

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(10/16)

from my home which code enforcement has failed to address). Many other automotive operations, lawn care with their hazard chemicals stored with in. Some working under the table and those even registered operations. Enforcements of just these items would reduce the number a potential runs "calls" in our town and the risks to

adjacent homes closely packed together. Most fire calls are from irresponsible conduct by people, these business are just one example. They just don't happen, that's why we have learned that fire "prevention" programs work extremely well

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(11/16)

not just throwing more money at everything in equipment, maintenance and personnel . Just a note, some towns and government agencies fight fires and save lives without a lot of extra fancy bells and whistles on their equipment and stations, try less bling and more substance on every truck you fund, even if it's not fun or fashionable to do plain Jane.

We need capacity to ladder and

move water, bling saves no one, in lives or tax dollars. You should strongly consider a volunteer program of some sort to supplement this city. Many eastern towns have done this for generations and done magnificently. I know because I volunteered for many, many years with

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(12/16)

professional standards and training in south Jersey communities and saved many homes and a few lives. We were, and they continue to be highly motivated and professionally trained by county run fire academies. This is just a thought but it works else where, it can work here. Enforcing of business and residential codes etc will have a great impact. You have to stop thinking of throwing

more money at everything as a solution. Because your 3rd solution will cost more no matter how you try to spin it. Response times are relative, and the national fire association referenced, as far as response times, is not gospel, stop trying to make it sound like their information is coming from the the burning bush. (no pun intended) Think for yourselves, be more creative. Thank you

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(13/16)

- I think the city of Nampa and its fire crews need to be more accountable to the tax payers. We don't need to raise the cost of services. We already have the services and they are under utilized. If firefighters can drive a fire engine to Costco and 'grocery shop' while on the tax payer dime, then there is no way they can support option 2 or 3. How about we make them work a little harder and quit making their job easier. We live in Nampa, Idaho! Not Chicago or NY. The firefighters are not in critical life scenarios, they are glorified EMTs. I don't support any measure for the FD until they start

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(14/16)

earning their paycheck. And quit buying new equipment, the current equipment and vehicles they use should last 10-15 yrs before we spend anymore tax dollars on replacements. Wear them out 1st, then we'll replace them.

- I would like to see if there is a way that Nampa Fire can remain as a department within the City. I understand

the need for money to be allocated in order for safety to be improved. I understand that if the only for them to have proper funding to ensure safety is to consolidate, then we can move forward with that. I would just like to know that we have exhausted the options of trying to keep NFD as a department within the City before we consolidate the department.

- We are not in

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(15/16)

- opposition of the proposal.
- NA
- We don't want higher taxes without a cap
- n/a
- Sounds like more overhead.
There has to be a funding source for the additional equipment and oversight, which I suspect will hit the taxpayer in some way. Relying on the State to provide more funding seems optimistic. Taxes are already too high.
- If I oppose it will be because we can't have higher taxes. Canyon county property taxes are higher than other counties already. Plus as more people move in there will be more revenue coming in so why not wait another year and then possibly there is enough revenue to justify more stations and personnel. Let's not become another coastal state!
- They are not busy enough to need another station

Survey (6/7)

0 3 4

If you are in opposition of the proposed consolidation, please list the reasons why:
(16/16)

- n/a
- N/A
- No
- It makes complete sense based on the positives. But there were no negatives listed. There's always a downside even with the best of positives.

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(1/6)

- yes
- I would appreciate being kept in the loop. Thank you. Tara Barling tarabarling@live.com 208-697-1352
- Thank you. I already called and was pleased that an administrator took my call and answered my question. Again, thank you.
- I am personally and professionally in support of our NFD. Thank you for your service.
- Dennis and Shirley Wolfe 320 E Chavel Dr. Nampa 208-859-2149
- I'm just wondering what "net tax neutrality" is, and how this proposal will affect our taxes, home AND business. We are already hurting with high taxes. EMAIL: mabbott507@hotmail.com

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(2/6)

- Clint Child 208-989-3373 I am interested in finding more about the election for the Fire District seats. This will be an important part of our ongoing success.
- How will the consolidation of the two departments financially effect the people in the rural areas?
- N/A
- If you need further feedback you can call me ,my cell is 206-683-8325, Tom Quiring Nampa
- The presentation answered my questions.
- No
- Not at this time but thank you for the option to do so.
- ts not clear how this will affect my taxes, will my

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(3/6)

taxes increase because if the consolidation is approved?

Mellissa Lee melkadlee@aol.com

- Our property taxes really increased last year, so how much more are they going to go up again? We are senior citizens and have a limited income

and we are afraid that increased taxes are going to drive us out of our home we have had since 1973. Thank you. e-mail:

legacymga@hotmail.com

- bwillie13@gmail.com
- FYI I attended a different meeting from the ones noted in survey.
- I would like to know exactly the taxing impacts and levy's to property

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(4/6)

- owners projected over 20 years for a Nampa Consolidated Fire Dept. I would also like to see published the proposed operating cost for the first 5 years of a Nampa Consolidated Fire Dept. Email jdc.pzc@gmail.com Respectfully, Jeff Christensen
- Braidyn.Seeley@gmail.com
- I will attend the scheduled meeting in November.
- dtwallack@gmail.com
- More specific regarding consolidation.
Glenumland@yahoo.com
- n.a.
- No further questions.
- No
- acostacarolyn868@gmail.com
When are impact fees going to increase to cover the cost of needed infrastructure such as

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(5/6)

firehouses?? Why has this not been addressed at the time of the increased building of massive subdivisions?

- No thank you.
- garygrjohnston@gmail.com Does anyone oppose this consolidation? If so why? Why will I not see a property tax

increase if consolidation is approved? How should retirees answer the question, "which best describes you?" None of them matched.

- 2084654946
- n/a
- Tom Thacker / Lthouse2@aol.com
- Beth.m.perry@hotmail.com I'm curious to know what the extra tax would

Survey (7/7)

0 3 6

If you would like to speak with someone to learn more about the proposed consolidation, please provide your contact information here (email or phone) and any specific questions you may have:

(6/6)

look like. Is it a constant tax or does it increase every year? Does it change depending on where in Nampa we live or how much property we own?

- n/a
- I just want more information about the costs and specifics of the consolidation.
rhawk016@msn.com
- Will be monitoring online

- I don't need to speak with anyone but it was very nice to hear the focus on keeping our community safe and the challenges such a great organization has to deal with in the public space. Especially when it came to dealing with costs, the taxpayer dollars, and the absolute professionalism our Nampa Fire crews provide each day. Thank you!