



City of Nampa

**Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee**

Nampa City Hall, Council Chambers & Teams

Meeting Minutes June 10, 2021

4:00 PM

**Committee Members Present:** Kasey Ketterling, Chair  
Bruce Purcell, Secretary  
Chasity Emerson  
Cindi Hartley  
Philip Peterson, Vice Chair  
Tim Rigsby  
Kelsey Parra

**City of Nampa Staff Present:** Kristi Watkins, Planning & Zoning  
Jeff Barnes, Public Works  
John Spencer, Engineering  
Cody Swander, Parks & Recreation

**Others Present:** Alex Hackett, Safe Routes to School  
Officer Marvin Curtis, Nampa PD

**Approval of Minutes from May 13 2021:** Motion made and seconded. Minutes approved as submitted.

**Announcements:**

Kristi: Mayor's ride – The ride shorter than planned. There were not a lot of people in attendance. After the official ride, there were 5 that rode the whole Stoddard trail and beyond. Overall, a nice ride.

Jeff: The City is planning on updating the engineering standards. There will be an effort to make the street sections match the Bike and Ped standards noted in the master plan. There will also be effort in the traffic section to make sure that the current traffic sections match the Bike and Ped master plan. Jeff requested that the committee members read the items and ensure they match the Bike and Ped standards. Kristi will send copies of the Bike and Pedestrian Master Plan to everyone electronically and paper copies to those that prefer. Cindi requested a hard copy. Kristi will send that out to her.

**Additions or changes to the proposed agenda:**

Phil asked for an update on the plan for bike lane markings and sharrows markings that Clemente had said he'd bring to this meeting along with an update on the plan to keep the bike lanes clean.

John was asked if he could give an update since Clemente was not present. Phil reiterated the original question – that bike lane markings and sharrows markings are not generally updated when lanes are re-marked. At least on the routes that Phil travels, they are faded and Phil believes this to be a safety hazard. Phil asked if there is a plan to re-mark these. Phil's second question was regarding debris in bike lanes noting that the street sweepers often don't get the debris out of the bike lanes as they are generally on the far right of the road making them unsafe for bike travel at times.

John responded that he would have to ask Clemente about the markings on sharrows markings and see if Clemente had an update on that.

Jeff also noted (as Phil had as well) that with the thermo markings, that they are far more expensive to maintain and, as a result, harder to get done. Phil reiterated the safety issue with not updating these.

For street sweeping, Jeff responded that there are 5 sweepers always sweeping and if there was an area not sufficiently cleaned that a request should be put in to have it done. Kasey noted that on 16<sup>th</sup> St that there areas of bike lane that didn't appear to be swept. Jeff said he would follow-up on that area in particular.

**EDUCATION:**

The following COMPASS webinars were noted as upcoming:

- June 16 – Evolving Multi-modal Impact Analysis
- July 21 – The Pedestrian Safety Crisis in America: Why it's happening and what we can do about it
- Aug 18 - Planning for All Ages and Abilities Through Inclusive and Virtual Walk Audits
- Sep 15 – Linework, Models, Counters...Oh My: A Utah Store of Multi-Agency Collaboration to Develop Active Transportation Data and Tools
- Oct 20 – Defining the Relationship Between Bicycle Infrastructure and Gentrification
- Nov 17 - Walking & Biking in Rural Communities: How Planning Partnerships & Equity Play a Role
- Dec 15 – City as a Canvas – How Three Florida Cities Approach Placemaking

Kristi noted that we would need to contact Braden to get links to these meetings if we wanted to attend. Kristi to re-send email from Braden with link to upcoming meeting.

#### **OLD BUSINESS:**

- Midland/Roosevelt Safety Audit – Report – Jeff Barnes  
From Jeff: This safety audit was presented to key staff and that he would update the group. Jeff asked how much information was requested by the group. Kasey noted that we all had time to read it and suggested that Jeff highlight areas he thought were most important.

Jeff noted that the City hired a consultant to lead them through the audit. He also noted the representation on the group including Cindi from the Bike and Ped group. This group did an analysis and recommendations. Jeff noted some of the key findings and changes being made as noted in the report. Jeff noted that the official City response was not attached. He said that he would send it out so that it could be discussed at the next meeting.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

- Counter Results – Braden, COMPASS - Braden was not present
- Revisit eScooter Code Changes – Attorney Comments  
The City attorney reviewed the draft of the proposed changes and sent Kristi a list of questions.

Kristi noted that at the last meeting that we had agreed that Nampa was not ready for a contract for eScooters. There was not time to write the memo prior to the City Council meeting with a proposed contract on the agenda. City Council did determine that they would not enter into an agreement with Bird at this time.

Kristi then reached out to the attorney and noted that we would like to have codes and definitions in place for future. Following are the questions from the attorney and the committee's responses.

From 6.5.1: Do we want to try and define the eScooter program or wait until another proposal come? The committee decided not to define this at this point. We would prefer to only define eScooters at this time and that rules for commercial or private eScooters should be the same from our standpoint.

From 6.5.3: Clarify paragraph to note eScooter and eBike. Kristi to update

From 6.5.4: Can eScooters be prohibited from the streets? There was some discussion as to whether eScooters could be prohibited from being in the lane of traffic. By consensus, the group decided to remove Item D restricting eScooters from the lane of traffic

Question: Why are there 3 classes of eScooters? By discussion, the classes were added because they were standard. The decision by the group was to propose leaving the initial paragraph defining what an eBike is, removing the three paragraphs defining separate classes and propose adding one final paragraph noting that anything with more power than this definition is not treated as an eBike.

Question: Do we want to prohibit commercial eScooters without prior approval from the City of Nampa? The decision by the committee is to propose adding one line prohibiting commercial eScooter programs without proper permits from the City.

6.5.4a – Officer Curtis noted that gas-powered bikes are mentioned and that this is the only place where they are mentioned. The agreement by the committee was that reference to gas-powered bicycles would be removed.

Kristi will get the draft rewritten and back to the attorney.

#### **NEXT MEETING:** Thursday, July 8, 4pm to 5pm – City Hall Council Chambers & Teams Meeting

Meeting closed by motion, second and approval.